

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

RODERICK SKINNER,

Plaintiff,

v.

MIKE HALEY, et al.

Defendants.

Case No. 3:15-CV-00340-MMD-VPC

ORDER

**I. DISCUSSION**

On December 28, 2015, the Court issued a screening order dismissing Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claim of deliberate indifference to his serious medical need as to defendants Washoe County Sheriff Mike Haley and Dr. Gargya without prejudice, dismissing defendant Corizon Correctional Healthcare without prejudice, and permitting Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claim of deliberate indifference to his serious medical need claim to proceed against defendants nurse Rene Pfister, nurse Valentine, and John Doe officer. (Dkt. no. 5 at 9:8-15.)

The Court granted Plaintiff thirty (30) days from the date of that order to file an amended complaint curing the deficiencies of his equal-protection claim. (*Id.* at 9:16-18.) The Court specifically stated that if Plaintiff chose not to file an amended complaint, the action would proceed on Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claim of deliberate indifference to his serious medical need against defendants nurse Rene Pfister, nurse Valentine, and John Doe officer. (*Id.* at 9:24-10:2.) Plaintiff advised the Court in his

1 motion for a scheduling order that he does not intend to file an amended complaint.  
2 (Dkt. no. 8 at 1:22-23.) Pursuant to the screening order, this action will proceed on  
3 Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claim of deliberate indifference to his serious medical  
4 need against defendants nurse Rene Pfister, nurse Valentine, and John Doe officer.

## 5 **II. CONCLUSION**

6 For the foregoing reasons, it is ordered that, pursuant to the Court's screening  
7 order and Plaintiff's assertion that he does not intend to file an amended complaint, this  
8 action will proceed on Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claim of deliberate indifference to  
9 his serious medical need against defendants nurse Rene Pfister, nurse Valentine, and  
10 John Doe officer.<sup>1</sup>

11 It is further ordered that the Clerk of Court issue summonses for defendants  
12 nurse Rene Pfister and nurse Valentine, and deliver the same, along with the complaint  
13 (dkt. no. 6.) to the U.S. Marshal for service. The Clerk also will send to Plaintiff two (2)  
14 USM-285 forms, one (1) copy of the complaint and a copy of this order. Plaintiff will  
15 have thirty (30) days within which to furnish to the U.S. Marshal the required USM-285  
16 forms with relevant information as to each defendant on each form. Within twenty (20)  
17 days after receiving from the U.S. Marshal a copy of the USM-285 forms showing  
18 whether service has been accomplished, Plaintiff must file a notice with the Court  
19 identifying which defendants were served and which were not served, if any. If Plaintiff  
20 wishes to have service again attempted on an unserved defendant(s), then a motion  
21 must be filed with the Court identifying the unserved defendant(s) and specifying a more  
22 detailed name and/or address for said defendant(s), or whether some other manner of  
23 service should be attempted.

24 It is further ordered that henceforth, Plaintiff must serve upon defendants or, if  
25 appearance has been entered by counsel, upon the attorney(s), a copy of every

---


26 <sup>1</sup>In the screening order, the Court permitted Plaintiff's deliberate indifference to  
27 his serious medical need claim to proceed against defendant John Doe officer. (Dkt. no.  
28 5 at 6:4.) The Court, however, notified Plaintiff that the use of "Doe" pleading is not  
favored and that Plaintiff would be given an opportunity through discovery to identify the  
unknown defendant. (*Id.*). As such, this claim shall proceed against the John Doe officer  
when Plaintiff learns his identity.

1 pleading, motion or other document submitted for consideration by the Court. Plaintiff  
2 must include with the original paper submitted for filing a certificate stating the date that  
3 a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to the defendants or counsel for the  
4 defendants. The Court may disregard any paper received by a district judge or  
5 magistrate judge which has not been filed with the Clerk, and any paper received by a  
6 district judge, magistrate judge or the Clerk which fails to include a certificate of service.

7 It is further ordered that Plaintiff's motion to have Clerk issue marshal summons  
8 for service of complaint (dkt. no. 7) is granted.

9 It is further ordered that Plaintiff's motion for a scheduling order in order to allow  
10 the plaintiff to conduct discovery (dkt. no. 8) is denied as moot.

11 DATED THIS 27<sup>th</sup> day of January 2016.

12   
13 \_\_\_\_\_  
14 MIRANDA M. DU  
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28